1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Ri Sco (w kno	rent isk ore ith own trols	Combined score	Additional mitigating actions/control measurers planned to achieve target score	Ri	get sk ore	combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
1. PF - Gov 1 2. Pensions Committee	Failure of Pensions Committee to manage the fund effectively, particulalry as a result of insufficient knowledge and skills	Policies and procedures adopted by pensions committee, specifically the committee training policy	L 3	I 4	5 12	Undertake a review of Committee Knowledge and Skills Ensure Pension Board vacancies are filled and regular meetings take place to provide additional review of Committee decisions	L 2	I 4	8	Anton sweet	on-going with quarterly review		Current score is influenced by the collective experience and consistency of the Pensions Committee, which has had a number of changes over the last 4 years.
1. PF - Gov 2 2. Pensions Committee	Risk of Regulatory change: - Implementation of change risks - Consequences of change risks	Continuous engagement with MHCLG and other interested stakeholders	4	3	12		4	3	12		on-going with quarterly review	reduced from 15 as inception of pooling is no	The dictated change to pooling of investment arrangements and implementation of this presents a significant risk to the scheme. The frequency of new regulation and the relatively new role of the Pensions Regulator are also factors.
1. PF - Inv1 2. Anton Sweet	available cash to meet its immediate (next 6 months)	Cash flow forecasting of TM function Monthly review of asset allocation and cash levels	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		
1. PF - Inv2 2. Pensions Committee	available assets to meet its long term liabilities.	Funding Strategy Statement Investment Strategy Statement Regular reporting of current position to Committee	3	5	15	The triennial valuation includes provision for restoring the fund to full funding over 19 years The current risk score partly reflects that the fund was 86% funded at the last valuation. An improvement in the funding level will reduce the likelihood of the risk occurring at some point in the future	2	5	10		Review again at next Valuation - 2022		This risk encapsulates the purpose of the fund in trying to always have sufficient assets to meet uncertain future liabilities with a pool of assets with uncertain future investment performance There is also the need to balance the funding needs of the fund with the desire to keep contributions as low and constant as possible

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Current Risk Score (with known controls		ed score	SCO	Additional mitigating actions/control measurers planned to achieve target score		get sk ore	combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
1. PF - Inv3 2. Pensions Committee		ESG Policy within Investment Strategy Statement requiring ESG factors to be considered in all investment decisions.	2	4	8			L 2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		Moving all assets to the management of Brunel, which has a greater focus on ESG and climate change than the majority of our legacy investment managers, has considerably improved our management of these risks. Additional provision within the ISS will be consdiered as part of planned review in 2021.
 PF - Inv4 Pensions Committee 		Representation on the Brunel Client Group and Oversight Board	2	4	8	3		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		
1. PF - Inv5 2. Anton Sweet	Custodian	Fund's assets held in client accounts not as assets of the custodian Additional oversight of custodian provided by Brunel for the assets they manage Review of credit worthiness and inherent business risk of custodian at tender phase	2	4	8	3		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		The designation of the fund's assets as client assets ensures that they cannot be appropriated by creditors of the Custodian bank in the case of that entity going into administration. As a result we should be able to recover substantially all of the assets of the fund held in custody but there would be considerable administrative and liquidity disruption.

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Ri Sco (w kno	rent sk ore ith own trols	Combined score	Additional mitigating actions/control measurers planned to achieve target score	Ri	rget isk ore	combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
1. PF - Admin1 2. Stephen Morton	benefits payment.	Regular reporting to Committee Internal processes and proceedures Regular review by Internal and External audit	L 2	3	6		L 2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		The greater resiliance gained from the Peninsula Pensions shaed service has been balanced by greater complexity coming into the sceme benefits.
1. PF - Admin2 2. Stephen Morton	particularly in respect of the payment of pension benefits	Internal processes and proceedures Regular review by Internal and External audit	3	3	9	Receipt of revised regulations in respect of the exit cap, McCloud and Goodwin	2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		The introduction and then revocation of the exit payment regulations has significantly increased the short term risk of legal challenge
1. PF - Admin3 2. Stephen Morton	within investment assets or benefits administration	Internal controls and processes Regular review of controls, processes and outputs by internal and external audit	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		Brunel provides an extra layer of scrutiny and control with respect to the activities of external fund managers and related third parties
1. PF - Admin4 2. Stephen Morton	places additional liabilities on the fund and ultimately the remaining	Admission agreements Guarantee bonds or other similar security	2	3	6		2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		To ensure the on-going suitability of the guarantees in place a review should be undertaken after each formal valuation. Review of guarentee bonds currently underway, September 2020

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Risk Score (with known		ed score	3		Target Risk score		Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
	Vulnerability to long-term staff sickness and staff turn-over, especially for higher graded posts.	None, other than experience of other staff within the sections	L 2	3	9 Cor		2 2	I 3	6		on-going with quarterly review		Size and depth of staff resources at Peninsula Pensions helps to mitigate the risk Brunel provides some extra mitigation with respect to investment asset management Additional use of consultants and advisors could be used to manage loss of internal staff
1. PF - Admin6 2. SCC Section 151 Officer	Resiliance of IT including a breach of cyber security	SCC and DCC internal IT security measures Additional cyber security and resiliance provided by hosting of benefits administration database and investment accounting database by outside parties	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		
1. PF - Admin7 2. SCC Section 151 Officer	Impact of COVID-19 crisis	Staff of Peninsula Pensions and SCC finance are working remotely without significant impact on performance. There are possible knock on consequneces for other risks on the risk register	3	2	6		3	2	6		on-going with quarterly review		Added as per Committee request at June 2020 meeting.